

Judgment Creditor:

1.1

I.

JUDGMENT SUMMARY

State of Washington

25

26

1 2 3 4 5	1.2	Judgment Debtors:	Veterans of Western Washington, in its own name and doing business as VWW, Western Washington Veterans, WWV, Children's Cancer Alliance, Hospitalized Children's Society, Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Family Services of Puget Sound; any Affiliate of Veterans of Western Washington; Robert Melbourne Shay, a/k/a R.M. Fuka, and his marital community
6	1.3	Principal Judgment Amount:	
7		a. Costs and Fees:	\$6920.00 (\$13,840 total; \$6920.00 suspended on condition of compliance with all of the terms of the Judgment and Decree.)
9		b. Restitution:	\$3005.00 (See Section VI below)
10		c. Civil Penalties:	\$0 (\$120,000 total, the entire penalty is suspended on condition of compliance with all
12			of the terms of the Judgment and Decree.)
13 14		d. Total Judgment:	\$9925.00 (\$120,000.00 in civil penalties and \$6920.00 in costs and attorney's fees are suspended on condition of compliance with all of the terms of the Judgment and Decree).
15 16 17	1.4	Post-Judgment Interest Rate:	12 percent per annum (for any amount owing after the agreed upon final payment date has passed).
18 19	1.5	Attorney for Judgment Creditor:	Shannon Smith, Assistant Attorney General
20	1.6	Attorney for Defendants:	Roger Hawkes, Esq.
21	Plainti	ff, State of Washington, co	mmenced this action on September 19, 2006
22	pursuant to F	RCW 19.86, the Consumer	Protection Act ("CPA"), and RCW 19.09 the
23	Charitable Sol	icitations Act. The Defendants	s were served with the Summons and Complaint.
24	Plaintiff appeared by and through its attorneys, Robert M. McKenna, Attorney		
25	General; and S	Shannon Smith, Assistant Atto	orney General; and Defendants appeared through
26	their attorney,	Roger Hawkes.	

Plaintiff and Defendants have agreed upon a basis for adjudication of the matters alleged in the Complaint, and to the entry of this Stipulated Judgment, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, Judgment and Decree (hereinafter referred to as "Stipulated Judgment" or "Decree" or "Order") pursuant to CR 54.

The Court has determined there is no just reason for delay in the entry of final judgment against Defendants, and being fully advised, the Court hereby makes and enters the following:

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 2.1 This action was commenced by the State of Washington pursuant to Chapter 19.86 RCW, the Consumer Protection Act and RCW 19.09, the Charitable Solicitations Act.
- 2.2 Unless otherwise specified, the term "Defendants" as used in this document shall mean Veterans of Western Washington, all names by which it has conducted charitable solicitations, and Robert Melbourne Shay, a/k/a R. M. Fuka.
- 2.3 Defendants accepted or herby waive personal service of the Summons and Complaint.
- 2.4 Defendants recognize and state that this Stipulated Judgment is entered into voluntarily and that no promises or threats have been made by the Attorney General's Office or any member, official, agent, or representative thereof to induce Defendants to enter into this Stipulated Judgment except as provided herein.
- 2.5 Defendants further agree that they will not oppose the entry of this Stipulated Judgment on the grounds that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Rules of Civil Procedure and hereby waive any objections based thereon.
- 2.6 The violations alleged in the State's Complaint, have been engaged in by Defendants wholly or in part in Snohomish County, state of Washington, and elsewhere in the state of Washington. Defendants transact or have transacted business in the state of Washington.

25

26

2.7 Defendant Veterans of Western Washington, is a Washington sole proprietorship, with its principal place of business at 19707 64th Ave. W., Suite 109, Lynnwood, Washington 98036. Veterans of Western Washington solicited and collected charitable contributions in the State of Washington its own name and under the names VWW, Western Washington Veterans, WWV, Children's Cancer Alliance, Hospitalized Children's Society, Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Family Services of Puget Sound. Defendant Robert Melbourne Shay, a/k/a R.M. Fuka, is an owner of Veterans of Western Washington since at least December 19, 2005, and as such, controls its policies, activities, and practices. including those alleged in this Complaint occurring on or after December 19, 2005. From at least July 26, 2002 through December 18, 2005, Defendant was corporate secretary of, and was a principal, manager, accountant, or otherwise involved in the day-to-day activities conducted by, Veterans of Western Washington and as such controlled its policies, activities, and practices, including those alleged in this Complaint occurring between July 26, 2002 and December 18, 2005. Defendant Robert Melbourne Shay resides at 22106 7th Street, S.W., Unit A, Edmonds, Washington, 98026. Defendant Robert Melbourne Shay is married to Nellie M. Shay and together they constitute a marital community. All actions taken by Defendant Robert Melbourne Shay as alleged in this Complaint are for the benefit of his marital community. Defendant resides in the state of Washington and transacts or has transacted business in the state of Washington.

2.8 Since at least 2002, Defendants have solicited charitable contributions under the names "Veterans of Western Washington," "VWW," "Western Washington Veterans," "WWV," "Children's Cancer Alliance," "Hospitalized Children's Society," "Coalition Against Domestic Violence," and "Family Services of Puget Sound." None of the entities were registered as charitable organizations with the Secretary of States after October 26, 2004. From October 26, 2004 through at least April of 2006, the Defendants solicited and collected

charitable contributions from the general public without being registered as a charitable organization with the Secretary of State.

- 2.9 In their written solicitation material distributed to Washington consumers, Defendants misrepresented that Veterans of Western Washington, Children's Cancer Alliance, and Hospitalized Children's Society were registered with the Secretary of State under Registration No. 9940, when they were not so registered.
- 2.10 In written solicitation material they distributed to Washington consumers, Defendants failed to clearly and conspicuously disclose in the body of the solicitation material that the Defendants' notice of solicitation is on file with the Secretary of State and that the potential donor could obtain additional financial disclosure information from the Secretary of State at the Secretary of State's published number.
- 2.11 Defendants failed to maintain accurate, current, and readily available books and records regarding their charitable solicitation and collection activities for three years after the effective period to which the documents relate.
- 2.12 Defendants solicited and collected charitable contributions under the names, Secretary of State Registration numbers, Employer Identification Numbers (EIN), and Unified Business Identifier (UBI) numbers of the "Handicapped Children's Services" and "Family Services of Puget Sound," without the consent of those entities.

III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Court hereby makes the following Conclusions of Law:

3.1 This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties. The Plaintiff's Complaint in this matter states claims upon which relief may be granted under the provisions of Chapter 19.86 RCW, the Consumer Protection Act and RCW 19.09 the Charitable Solicitations Act.

- 3.2 Defendants' acts and practices as described in Finding of Fact 2.8 violate RCW 19.09.065 and 19.09.100(16), (18), and are *per se* violations of RCW 19.86 the Consumer Protection Act pursuant to RCW 19.09.340.
- 3.3 Defendants' acts and practices as described in Finding of Fact 2.9 violate RCW 19.09.100(12), and are *per se* violations of RCW 19.86 the Consumer Protection Act pursuant to RCW 19.09.340. Defendants' acts and practices as described in Finding of Fact 2.9 also have the capacity to mislead a substantial number of consumers, are unfair and deceptive, and are unfair methods of competition and therefore constitute violations of RCW 19.86.020, which prohibits unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce, notwithstanding that they are *per se* violations of the Consumer Protection Act pursuant to RCW 19.09.340.
- 3.4 Defendants' acts and practices as described in Finding of Fact 2.10 violate RCW 19.09.100(1), (18), and are *per se* violations of RCW 19.86 the Consumer Protection Act pursuant to RCW 19.09.340. Defendants' acts and practices as described in Finding of Fact 2.10 also have the capacity to mislead a substantial number of consumers, are unfair and deceptive, and are unfair methods of competition and therefore constitute violations of RCW 19.86.020, which prohibits unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce, notwithstanding that they are *per se* violations of the Consumer Protection Act pursuant to RCW 19.09.340.
- 3.5 Defendants' acts and practices as described in Finding of Fact 2.11 violate RCW 19.09.100(18), 19.09.200, 19.09.210 and WAC 434-120-125, 434-120-130, 434-120-135, 434-120-140 and are *per se* violations of RCW 19.86 the Consumer Protection Act pursuant to RCW 19.09.340. Defendants' acts and practices as described in Finding of Fact 2.11 also have the capacity to mislead a substantial number of consumers, are unfair and deceptive, and are unfair methods of competition and therefore constitute violations of RCW 19.86.020, which prohibits unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct

of any trade or commerce, notwithstanding that they are *per se* violations of the Consumer Protection Act pursuant to RCW 19.09.340.

- 3.6 Defendants' acts and practices as described in Finding of Fact 2.12 violates RCW 19.09.100(16), (18), and 19.09.200(1), and are *per se* violations of RCW 19.86 the Consumer Protection Act pursuant to RCW 19.09.340. Defendants' acts and practices as described in Finding of Fact 2.12 also have the capacity to mislead a substantial number of consumers, are unfair and deceptive, and are unfair methods of competition and therefore constitute violations of RCW 19.86.020, which prohibits unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce, notwithstanding that they are *per se* violations of the Consumer Protection Act pursuant to RCW 19.09.340.
- 3.7 Plaintiff, State of Washington, is authorized by RCW 19.09.340 and 19.86.080 to enjoin violations of the Consumer Protection Act, to obtain restitution on behalf of persons harmed by such violations, and to obtain such further and other relief as the court may deem appropriate, including civil penalties up to the amount of \$2,000.00 per violation, and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 3.8 Plaintiff is entitled to a Judgment enjoining and restraining Defendants and any and all persons in active concert or participation with Defendants from engaging in the future in the acts or practices described in Findings of Fact 2.8 through 2.12 that violate the Consumer Protection Act or the Charitable Solicitation Act.
- 3.9 Plaintiff is entitled to a Judgment ordering Defendants to pay Plaintiff's costs and attorney's fees the amount of \$13,840.50. This request for attorney's fees is reasonable and Plaintiff is entitled to a judgment in this amount. However, \$6920.00 of Plaintiff's reasonable costs and attorney's fees is hereby imposed on Defendants, and \$6920 is suspended, provided Defendants comply all of the terms of this Judgment and Decree. Defendants shall pay \$6920.00 imposed costs and attorney's fees to plaintiff in full no later than 60 days after the entry of this Judgment and Decree. This payment shall be in addition

25

26

to and exclusive of any costs or fees which may be incurred by Plaintiff in enforcing the provisions of this Judgment, including the costs of any collection actions. If the Plaintiff successfully enforces the provision of this Judgment against Defendants, then Defendants shall pay the \$6920.00 in suspended costs and attorney's fees, which shall be in addition to the Plaintiff's costs and attorney's fees incurred in enforcing the Judgment.

- **3.10** Plaintiff is entitled to a Judgment ordering Defendants to comply with the injunctive provisions described below.
- **3.11** Plaintiff is entitled to a Judgment ordering that Defendants shall be subject to suspended civil penalties as described below.
- **3.12** Plaintiff is entitled to a Judgment ordering Defendants to pay restitution as described below.

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Court hereby makes the following Judgment and Order:

It is hereby ADJUDGED, ORDERED, and DECREED as follows:

IV. INJUNCTIONS

- **4.1** The injunctive provisions of this Judgment and Order shall apply to the Defendants, and the Defendants' successors, assigns, officers, agents, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with the Defendants.
- 4.2 Defendants shall immediately inform all successors, assigns, transferees, officers, agents, servants, employees, representatives, attorneys and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with Defendants of the terms and conditions of this Judgment and Order.
- 4.3 Imposed Injunctions. Defendants and all successors, assigns, transferees, officers, agents, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, attorneys and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with Defendants are hereby permanently enjoined and

(g) Failing to make any of the disclosures required by RCW 19.09.100, as currently enacted or subsequently amended, other applicable law, in any oral or written solicitations.

4.4 Suspended Injunctions. The following injunctions are set forth herein as a remedy for the violations committed by Defendants, but their implementation is suspended upon condition that Defendants shall not commit any material violation of the other injunctive terms of this Stipulated Judgment. Should the Court find in the future that any of the Defendants who are party to this Stipulated Judgment have violated materially any of the injunctive provisions of this Stipulated Judgment, then the parties hereby stipulate and agree that upon a showing of such violation, the Court shall enter the following injunctive relief:

Defendants and all successors, assigns, transferees, officers, agents, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, attorneys and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with Defendants are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from directly or indirectly engaging in the following acts or practices in the State of Washington:

- (a) Engaging in charitable solicitation, as defined by RCW 19.09 as currently enacted or subsequently amended, of any whatsoever, including but not limited to any solicitation where states or implies any appeal for the support of veterans, children, children's hospitals, or other programs to benefit youth, battered women, domestic violence victims, the disabled, or any other charitable or purportedly charitable cause of any kind, regardless of whether the beneficiary or beneficiaries of such solicitations are individuals, groups, organizations, associations, for-profit corporations, non-profit corporations, hospitals, group homes, or other entity;
- (b) Owning, operating, managing, consulting with, or working for or on behalf of any charitable organization that conducts charitable solicitations in the State of Washington, whether as an owner, co-owner, partner, employee, manager, salesperson,

telefunder or telemarketer, solicitor, script writer or editor, advisor or consultant, or independent contractor

(c) Engaging in the business of a commercial fundraiser, commercial coventurer, or fundraising consultant as defined in RCW 19.09 or WAC 434-120 as currently enacted or subsequently amended, or owning, managing, or working for or on behalf of such commercial fundraiser or fundraising consultant, whether as an owner, co-owner, partner, employee, manager, salesperson, telefunder or telemarketer, solicitor, script writer or editor, advisor or consultant, or independent contractor

V. CIVIL PENALTIES

- 5.1 Pursuant to RCW 19.86.140, Plaintiff shall recover and Defendants shall pay civil penalties in the amount of \$120,000. However, the entire \$120,000 is suspended on condition of compliance with all of the terms of this Judgment and Decree.
- 5.2 The suspended portion of the civil penalty shall be imposed by the Court upon a showing by the State, upon reasonable notice to the Defendants, that one or more of the Defendants materially has violated one or more of the terms of this Judgment and Decree. This suspended civil penalty shall be viewed as analogous to liquidated damages (or a liquidated penalty) for all of the past violations committed by Defendants in addition to whatever violations Defendants may commit in the future, to be payable in case of a material violation in the future.

VI. RESTITUTION

6.1 Pursuant to RCW 19.86.080, Defendants agree to remit to the Attorney General's Office the sum of \$3005.00 as restitution to consumers who have made charitable donations to Defendants after October 26, 2004. This amount reflects restitution to those consumers who donated to Defendants after October 26, 2006, and who complained to or inquired of Attorney General's Office or the Secretary of State's Office regarding their

donations, or whose identity the Attorney General's Office obtained through its investigation. The Defendants shall remit this sum no later than August 1, 2007.

- 6.2 If the Attorney General's Office is unable to distribute restitution to any consumer entitled to restitution under this section, the Attorney General's Office, at its discretion, shall apply that amount toward additional attorney's fees or costs, and/or toward consumer education.
- 6.3 Defendants shall make this payment by cashier's check, made payable to the Attorney General—State of Washington, and shall be delivered to the Office of the Attorney General, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 98104-3188, to the attention of Cynthia Lockridge.

VII. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

- 7.1 Pursuant to RCW 19.86.080, Plaintiff shall recover and Defendants shall pay costs and attorneys' fees incurred in pursuing this matter in the amount of \$13,840.00. However, \$6920.00 is hereby imposed; Defendants may make incremental payments toward satisfying the imposed costs and attorney's fees in the amount of \$500.00 per month, beginning on August 1, 2007. Defendants shall make each subsequent monthly payment of \$500.00 on or before the first business day of each successive month. Defendants' final payment shall be made on or before September 1, 2008. Defendants' failure to make a payment in accordance with the payment schedule of this paragraph shall be deemed a violation of the Consent Decree. Interest on any unpaid balance of this amount shall accrue in the amount of 12% per annum. The remaining \$6920.00 in costs and attorney's fees shall be suspended conditioned on full compliance with the Judgment and Decree.
- 7.2 Defendants shall bear Plaintiff's reasonable costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, for enforcing this Judgment in any successful action to enforce any of its provisions.

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

7.3 Defendants shall make all payments by cashier's check, made payable to the Attorney General—State of Washington, which shall be delivered to the Office of the Attorney General, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 98104-3188, to the attention of Cynthia Lockridge.

VIII. ENFORCEMENT

- 8.1 Pursuant to RCW 19.86.140, any violation of the terms of this Judgment may form the basis for further enforcement proceedings.
- 8.2 The violation of any of the terms of this Judgment shall constitute a violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86 et seq.
- 8.3 Jurisdiction is retained for the purpose of enabling any party to this Judgment. with or without the prior consent or approval of the other party, to apply to the Court at any time for the enforcement of compliance therewith, the punishment of violations thereof, or the modification or clarification thereof.
- 8.4 Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed as to limit or to bar any other governmental entity or any other consumer in the pursuit of additional remedies against Defendants.
- 8.5 Representatives of the Office of Attorney General shall be permitted, upon 10 days' notice to Defendants, to access, inspect, and/or copy all business records or documents under the control of Defendants, in order to monitor compliance with the injunctive provisions of this Stipulated Judgment.
- 8.6 Under no circumstances shall this Judgment or the names of the State of Washington or the Office of the Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division, or any of its employees or representatives be used by Defendants' agents or employees in connection with the promotion of any product or service or an endorsement or approval of Defendants' practices.

1	8.7 The Court finding no just reason for delay, hereby expressly directs entry of this			
2	Judgment.			
3	SO ORDERED this day of			
4	LESTER H. STEWART			
5	JUDGE/COURT COMMISSIONER			
6	Presented by			
7 8	ROBERT M. MCKENNA Attorney General			
9	11 21908 4 32908			
10	SHANNON E. SMITH, WSBA #19077			
11	Senior Counsel Attorneys for Plaintiff			
12	State of Washington			
13				
14	Agreed to, Approved for Entry, and Notice of Presentation Waived:			
15				
16	blocat hay			
17	VETERANS OF WESTERN WASHINGTON ET AL. ROBERT MELBOURNE SHAY			
18	Defendants			
19	() Eller L			
20	ROGER E. HAWKES, ESQ., WSBA #5173			
21	Attorney for Defendants			
22				
23				
24				
25				
6				
- 11				